

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/759,493	URBAN ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643	

All Participants:

(1) Andrea M. Valenti.

(2) Jon Ikegami.

Status of Application: Examiner's Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2006

Time: 3pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 1-46


Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1-21, Claims 22-43, and claims 44-46 were indicated as three restrictable inventions. Applicant elected over the phone claims 22-43. Applicant agreed to the attached examiner's amendment that amended claim 22 and cancelled claims 1-21, 23, 44-46 (see attached examiner's amendment)..